

International Journal Research Publication Analysis

Page: 01-11

BRIDGING THE DYNAMIC AND THE OBJECTIVE: A CONCEPTUAL CORRELATION OF MIASMATIC PATTERNS WITH MODERN DIAGNOSTIC FINDINGS

Sornamanjari S., Srivardhani R., Sruthi M. Babu, Subathra M.*¹, Sibin R. A.*²

¹Interns BHMS, Sarada Krishna Homoeopathic Medical College, (Affiliated to The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R Medical University, Chennai), Tamilnadu – 629161.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Organon of Medicine, Sarada Krishna Homoeopathic Medical College, (Affiliated to The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R Medical University, Chennai), Tamilnadu – 629161.

Article Received: 30 August 2026

Article Revised: 19 September 2026

Published on: 09 October 2026

*Corresponding Author: Sibin R. A.

Assistant Professor, Department of Organon of Medicine, Sarada Krishna Homoeopathic Medical College, (Affiliated to The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R Medical University, Chennai), Tamilnadu – 629161.

DOI: <https://doi-doi.org/101555/ijrpa.6199>

ABSTRACT

Homoeopathy interprets disease through individualised symptomatology and the theory of chronic miasms, whereas modern medicine relies on objective diagnostic investigations that demonstrate functional, structural, and pathological change. This conceptual article explores a theoretical correlation between the Hahnemannian miasms — Psora, Sycosis, and Syphilis — and the later-described Tubercular miasm, with findings obtained from basic haematological, biochemical, radiological, and other standard diagnostic investigations. Such correlation is proposed as a complementary theoretical layer that may aid clinical evaluation, holistic case assessment, and appropriate referral, without replacing individualised homoeopathic case-taking or established biomedical diagnosis. Diagnostic findings should not be regarded as direct biological markers of miasms, since miasmatic theory and modern diagnostic parameters belong to distinct frameworks of clinical interpretation. Further research employing standardised miasmatic criteria and objective diagnostic parameters is required to establish the validity, reproducibility, and clinical relevance of the proposed correlations.

KEYWORDS: Homoeopathy; Miasm; Psora; Sycosis; Syphilis; Tubercular miasm; Modern diagnostics; Laboratory investigations; Medical imaging; Individualised treatment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Homoeopathy has traditionally approached disease as an individualised disturbance, expressed through the totality of the characteristic signs and symptoms of the patient. Within classical homoeopathic philosophy, Samuel Hahnemann developed the theory of chronic miasms, described in the *Organon of Medicine* and *The Chronic Diseases, Their Peculiar Nature and Their Homoeopathic Cure*, to explain persistent and recurrent disease tendencies that could not be adequately accounted for by the acute-disease concepts of his time.[1][2] Hahnemann described Psora, Sycosis, and Syphilis as the three fundamental chronic miasms, while later homoeopathic authors expanded this framework to include additional concepts, notably the Tubercular (tuberculinic) miasm, which is not accepted uniformly across schools.[3][4][5] The historical evolution of miasmatic theory has produced considerable variation in its interpretation across different schools of homoeopathic thought, making it one of the more debated areas within the profession.[6][7]

The development of modern medicine has substantially advanced the understanding of disease. Pathology, microbiology, biochemistry, genetics, immunology, and diagnostic imaging now provide objective methods for identifying and characterising disease processes at the cellular, biochemical, and structural level.[8][9][10] Modern diagnostic investigations can demonstrate alterations in blood cell counts, biochemical parameters, inflammatory markers, organ function, tissue structure, and disease-specific pathological change. The World Health Organization recognises diagnostics as an essential component of disease identification, screening, case management, and monitoring.[11]

Objective diagnostic findings can help establish the nature and severity of disease, identify potentially serious pathology, monitor disease progression, and facilitate timely referral. At the same time, individualised homoeopathic case assessment considers the patient's subjective experience and characteristic symptomatology, an aspect that cannot be fully captured by laboratory or imaging parameters alone. The present article attempts to place these two frameworks side by side and to explore, in purely conceptual terms, where they may be discussed together.

2. Aim and Objectives

The aim of this conceptual article is to explore a possible theoretical correlation between Hahnemannian miasmatic patterns and findings obtained from standard modern diagnostic investigations. The specific objectives are to:

1. Present an integrative conceptual framework linking classical homoeopathic theory

with objective biomedical evidence;

2. Examine how the totality of symptoms and relevant modern diagnostic investigations may be considered together in comprehensive case assessment;
3. Highlight the current limitations of, and the caution required in, extending miasmatic theory to explain diagnostic findings; and
4. Identify the scope for further structured, evidence-based research in this area.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a conceptual article; no original clinical or laboratory data were generated. Foundational homoeopathic material was drawn from Hahnemann's *Organon of Medicine* and *The Chronic Diseases*, together with standard texts of homoeopathic philosophy by Kent, Roberts, and Close.[1][2][3][4][5] Standard textbooks of modern pathology, physiology, and internal medicine were used as reference sources for the description of diagnostic investigations.[8][9][10] Selected peer-reviewed articles on miasmatic theory, homoeopathic research methodology, and the evidence base of homoeopathy were consulted through PubMed, Google Scholar, and the Homeopathy journal archive to inform the framework. As this is a conceptual article and not a systematic or scoping review, no formal search period, inclusion criteria, or record-screening process was applied, and the cited literature is a selective rather than exhaustive sample. The classical texts and these articles were synthesised to construct the conceptual correlation presented in this article.

4. Conceptual Basis of Miasmatic Correlation

The proposed framework is based on an important distinction between the two frameworks of clinical enquiry:

Modern diagnostic investigation asks: “What objective abnormality is present, where is it located, how extensive is it, and how is it changing?”

Homoeopathic case analysis asks: “How is the patient experiencing the disease, what characteristic pattern is expressed, what is the individual susceptibility, and how may the case be understood within the homoeopathic framework?”

Therefore: *Clinical symptoms + individual characteristics + objective findings → comprehensive case assessment.* Miasmatic interpretation is proposed to remain an additional theoretical layer for reflection and hypothesis generation, rather than a replacement for established diagnostic procedures or for individualised case-taking.

5. Psora, Sycosis, Syphilis and Tubercular Miasm: Conceptual Correlation

Table 1 summarises, in broad conceptual terms, the traditional homoeopathic themes associated with each miasm, the clinical/pathological patterns that may loosely correspond to them, the categories of diagnostic information that may be relevant, and the caution required in interpretation.

Miasmatic pattern	Traditional homoeopathic conceptual theme	Broad clinical/pathological pattern that may be observed	Possible diagnostic information	Caution in interpretation
PSORA	Functional disturbance, hypersensitivity, deficiency, imbalance, susceptibility	Functional disturbances where structural pathology may be minimal or early	Routine blood tests, thyroid profile, glucose level, nutritional markers, functional assessments	A normal or abnormal test result cannot, by itself, establish Psora
SYCOSIS	Excess, accumulation, overgrowth, proliferation, retention	Hyperplastic, proliferative, congestive, excessive tissue responses	Imaging, histopathology, or inflammatory markers, organ-function tests	Proliferation does not equate to Sycosis; many biomedical mechanisms can produce similar findings
SYPHILIS	Destruction, degeneration, ulceration, deep structural pathology	Tissue destruction, ulceration, necrosis, degenerative or destructive changes	Imaging (bone, cardiovascular, or neurological), histopathology, serological and microbiological tests, organ-function tests	Destructive pathology has multiple established biomedical causes
TUBERCULAR	Alternation, recurrence, hypersensitivity, rapid change, constitutional weakness (a later homoeopathic development)	Recurrent or relapsing clinical patterns, chronic inflammatory manifestations, constitutional decline	CBC, ESR/CRP, chest imaging, microbiological tests, organ-specific investigations	Tuberculosis must be diagnosed using appropriate biomedical methods; recurrent disease alone is not proof of the Tubercular miasm

It must be emphasised that the associations in Table 1 are descriptive and illustrative rather than diagnostic. No single laboratory or imaging finding can, by itself, be taken as evidence of

a particular miasm; the same pathological pattern may arise from numerous unrelated biomedical mechanisms.

6. Correlation with Basic Modern Diagnostic Investigations

Basic haematological, biochemical, and functional investigations are commonly used in general clinical practice to characterise disease objectively.[12][13] Table 2 places the homoeopathic and modern biomedical perspectives on these investigations side by side, with the modern biomedical content drawn from standard textbooks of pathology and physiology and from the diagnostic-science literature cited against each row.

Basic Investigation	Homoeopathic Approach	Modern Biomedical Approach	Ref.
Complete Blood Count (CBC)	The overall clinical picture — whether it appears mainly functional, recurrent, proliferative, or destructive in nature — may be weighed together with the totality of symptoms. CBC by itself is never used to diagnose a miasm.	Measures haemoglobin, red cell indices, total and differential white cell count, and platelet count. Low haemoglobin points to anaemia; a raised white cell count suggests infection or inflammation; abnormal counts of any cell line may indicate a primary blood disorder.	[8][9]
ESR & CRP	A pattern of recurring inflammation may be noted as part of the broader constitutional picture, without labelling the marker itself as belonging to any one miasm.	Both are non-specific markers that rise when active inflammation, infection, or tissue injury is present anywhere in the body. CRP rises and falls faster than ESR, so CRP better reflects acute change while ESR better reflects a chronic or ongoing process.	[8][10]
Liver Function Tests (LFT)	Persistent liver-parameter abnormality may be viewed alongside characteristic symptoms and the case totality; miasmatic assessment should never rest on liver values alone.	Bilirubin indicates how well the liver processes and excretes bile pigment. AST and ALT rise mainly with hepatocyte injury; ALP rises mainly with bile-duct obstruction (cholestasis). Serum albumin reflects the liver's long-term synthetic function.	[8][10]
Renal Function Tests (RFT)	Urinary symptoms and modalities may be considered together with objective renal parameters; interpretation should rest on the complete case, not on creatinine or eGFR in isolation.	Serum creatinine and the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) indicate how efficiently the kidneys filter blood. Urea and electrolyte levels help detect dehydration, obstruction, or electrolyte imbalance associated with impaired renal function.	[9][10]
Urine	Characteristic urinary complaints,	Routine urinalysis checks for	

Examination	modalities, and the constitutional picture may be reviewed alongside the laboratory report.	protein, glucose, blood, leukocytes, nitrites, ketones, casts, and crystals. These help identify urinary tract infection, kidney disease, diabetes, and other metabolic conditions.	[8][10]
Blood Glucose & Metabolic Panel	Individual symptoms, lifestyle, family history, and constitutional traits may be considered together with the metabolic report during case assessment.	Fasting/postprandial glucose and HbA1c establish whether blood sugar control meets accepted diagnostic thresholds for pre-diabetes or diabetes. A lipid profile (total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, triglycerides) assesses cardiovascular risk.	[10]
Thyroid Function Tests	Symptoms such as fatigue, weight change, altered bowel habit, temperature intolerance, or menstrual irregularity may be read as part of the individualised case.	TSH is the first-line screening test; a raised TSH with low free T4 indicates hypothyroidism, while a suppressed TSH with high free T4/T3 indicates hyperthyroidism. These values help distinguish thyroid dysfunction from non-specific complaints.	[9][10]

7. Correlation with Imaging and Other Diagnostic Modalities

Imaging and histopathological investigations provide structural and cellular information that complements clinical and biochemical assessment.[14][15] Table 3 outlines these modalities from both perspectives, again with the modern biomedical content referenced to standard imaging and pathology literature.

Investigation	Homoeopathic Approach	Modern Biomedical Approach	Ref.
Ultrasonography (USG)	A structural finding such as a cyst, fibroid, or organ enlargement may be discussed conceptually alongside the traditional sycotic theme of excess and proliferation, without equating the scan finding with the miasm itself.	Uses sound waves to visualise soft-tissue organs in real time. Commonly used for the abdomen, pelvis, thyroid, breast, and obstetric assessment; it can detect cysts, masses, organ enlargement, and fluid collections without radiation exposure.	[14]
X-ray (Radiography)	A destructive or degenerative bony pattern may be discussed conceptually alongside the totality of symptoms and the traditional	Uses ionising radiation to image dense structures such as bone and lung. Can reveal fracture, erosion, destruction, calcification, or lung consolidation, and remains the first-line imaging test for chest and skeletal complaints.	[14][15]

	syphilitic theme; such correlation remains theoretical only.		
Electrocardiogram (ECG)	An ECG finding is treated as objective information to be read alongside the characteristic symptoms and overall case picture; an abnormal tracing is never, by itself, proof of a particular miasm.	Records the heart's electrical activity to assess rate, rhythm, and conduction. Identifies arrhythmia, ischaemic change, and conduction defects, and is a first-line test for patients with suspected cardiac disease or symptoms; routine screening of asymptomatic, low-risk adults is not generally recommended.	[10]
CT Scan	A structural abnormality on CT may be considered alongside the individual's symptom picture and history; miasmatic interpretation remains conceptual and never replaces the radiological diagnosis.	Combines multiple X-ray images into detailed cross-sectional pictures. Particularly useful for evaluating the brain, chest, abdomen, trauma, and staging of tumours, with far greater anatomical detail than plain X-ray.	[14]
MRI	Constitutional features and characteristic symptoms may be evaluated independently of the imaging report; an MRI finding itself is not assigned a miasmatic identity.	Uses a strong magnetic field (no ionising radiation) to produce highly detailed soft-tissue images. Preferred for brain, spinal cord, joint, and soft-tissue evaluation where fine anatomical detail is required.	[14]
Histopathology	The histopathology report is accepted as objective pathological evidence; the individualised symptom totality is assessed separately, and homoeopathic interpretation must never contradict an established tissue diagnosis.	Microscopic examination of a tissue sample, regarded as the reference-standard (gold-standard) method for confirming diagnoses of cancer, chronic inflammation, and degenerative disease. For infection, microbiological confirmation (culture, serology or molecular testing) usually serves as the reference standard, with histopathology offering supportive evidence.	[8][15]

8. DISCUSSION

The relationship between homoeopathic miasmatic theory and modern diagnostic medicine is complex, because the two use fundamentally different approaches to understand disease. Hahnemann developed the miasmatic concept before modern laboratory testing, imaging, microbiology, and molecular medicine were available. Today, investigations such as blood tests, imaging, and histopathology provide objective information about disease, its severity, and its progression. Modern diagnostic findings may therefore be used alongside

individualised case-taking, rather than being viewed as contradictory to it.

In this article, the relationship between miasms and modern diagnostic findings is presented only as a conceptual and descriptive model, and not as an established biomedical relationship. Functional disturbances may be discussed in relation to the traditional psoric concept, proliferative changes with the sycotic concept, destructive changes with the syphilitic concept, and recurrent or rapidly changing patterns with tubercular themes. However, a particular investigation or pathological finding cannot, by itself, prove a miasm; the complete clinical picture and individualised case must be considered, while modern diagnosis remains essential for identifying and managing disease.[16]

Recent research has attempted to render miasmatic concepts more structured and amenable to scientific study, including the development of checklists of secondary symptoms and other structured tools.[17][18] Nonetheless, the overall evidence base supporting miasmatic correlation, and homoeopathic research methodology more broadly, remains limited and continues to be debated within and outside the profession.[19] These proposed relationships should therefore be examined through well-designed research rather than accepted as established scientific fact. Such an approach may help maintain a balance between individualised homoeopathic assessment and objective modern diagnostic evaluation.

9. LIMITATIONS

- 1. No validated test for miasm:** there is currently no blood test, biomarker, or imaging investigation that can diagnose Psora, Sycosis, Syphilis, or the Tubercular miasm.
- 2. Differing definitions:** different homoeopathic authors have described miasms in different ways, so there is no single, universally accepted definition.
- 3. Conceptual relationship:** the proposed connection between miasms and modern diagnostic findings is theoretical and is not supported by proven biological mechanisms.
- 4. Same finding, different causes:** a single laboratory or imaging abnormality can occur due to many different diseases and causes.
- 5. Risk of interpretive bias:** clinicians may interpret findings in a manner that supports an already-assumed miasm.
- 6. Primacy of modern diagnosis:** laboratory tests and imaging must continue to be interpreted according to accepted medical standards and should not be reinterpreted to fit a miasmatic theory.
- 7. Need for further research:** well-designed clinical studies are needed to test the reliability, reproducibility, and clinical usefulness of miasmatic classification.

8. Treatment response is not proof: improvement following treatment alone cannot establish the biological existence of a particular miasm.

9. Broader evidence base of homoeopathy: the clinical evidence base for homoeopathy, encompassing both individualised and non-individualised approaches, remains a subject of ongoing scientific debate, with systematic reviews and meta-analyses reaching differing conclusions.[20][21][22][23][24][25][26]

10. CONCLUSION

Modern diagnostic tools and homoeopathic miasmatic assessment represent different approaches to understanding disease and should not be considered interchangeable. Laboratory investigations, imaging, pathology, and other diagnostic modalities provide objective information regarding functional, structural, and pathological change, while miasmatic assessment represents a theoretical framework within individualised homoeopathic case analysis. Conceptual correlations between Psora, Sycosis, Syphilis, the Tubercular miasm, and broad pathological patterns may provide a framework for discussion and hypothesis generation, but they should not be interpreted as scientifically established diagnostic relationships. The integration of objective diagnostic information with individualised clinical assessment may promote more comprehensive patient evaluation, appropriate monitoring, differential diagnosis, and timely referral, consistent with the position of bodies such as the NCCIH that homoeopathy should not be used to replace evidence-based diagnosis and treatment.[27] Future research using standardised miasmatic criteria and rigorous clinical methodology is necessary to determine whether these proposed correlations demonstrate reproducibility, validity, and meaningful clinical utility.

REFERENCES

1. Hahnemann S. Organon of Medicine. 5th and 6th ed. (Combined edition). Translated by Dudgeon RE, Boericke W. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2002.
2. Hahnemann S. The Chronic Diseases, Their Peculiar Nature and Their Homoeopathic Cure. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2001.
3. Kent JT. Lectures on Homoeopathic Philosophy. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2002.
4. Roberts HA. The Principles and Art of Cure by Homoeopathy. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 1998.
5. Close S. The Genius of Homoeopathy: Lectures and Essays on Homoeopathic Philosophy. New Delhi: B Jain Publishers; 2002.

6. Mathur M. The concept of miasm — evolution and present day perspective. *Homeopathy*. 2009;98(3):177-180. doi:10.1016/j.homp.2009.05.003.
7. Bell IR. The evolution of homeopathic theory-driven research and the methodological toolbox. *Am Homeopath*. 2008;14:56-74.
8. Kumar V, Abbas AK, Aster JC. Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease. 10th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2021.
9. Hall JE. Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology. 14th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2021.
10. Loscalzo J, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Longo DL, Jameson JL, editors. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine. 21st ed. New York: McGraw Hill; 2022.
11. World Health Organization. Diagnostics [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2026 [cited 2026 Sep 9]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/health-topics/diagnostics>.
12. Kosack CS, Page AL, Klatser PR. A guide to aid the selection of diagnostic tests. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2017;95(9):639-645. doi:10.2471/BLT.16.187468.
13. Lubin IM, Astles JR, Shahangian S, et al. Bringing the clinical laboratory into the strategy to advance diagnostic excellence. *Diagnosis (Berl)*. 2021;8(3):281-294. doi:10.1515/dx-2020-0119.
14. Hussain S, Mubeen I, Ullah N, Shah SSUD, Khan BA, Zahoor M, et al. Modern diagnostic imaging technique applications and risk factors in the medical field: a review. *Biomed Res Int*. 2022;2022:5164974. doi:10.1155/2022/5164974.
15. Mohan H. Textbook of Pathology. 8th ed. New Delhi: Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers; 2018.
16. Thomas S. The doctrine of miasms in homeopathic medicine: a critical examination. *Int J Pharm Med Sci*. 2025;5(2):32-35. doi:10.64751/ijpams.2025.v5.n2.pp32-35.
17. Lemonica R, Fujino FMSC, Carneiro ALB, Olandim AAC. Clinical research models in homeopathy: theoretical and epidemiological reflections. *Homeopathy*. 2026. doi:10.1055/a-2750-4888.
18. Khatun J, et al. An investigation into the miasmatic classification of symptoms in homeopathy: development of a checklist of secondary symptoms of Psora by mixed-methods research. *Homeopathy*. 2026 Mar 10 [online ahead of print]. doi:10.1055/a-2742-7473.
19. Fisher P. The development of research methodology in homoeopathy. *Complement Ther Nurs Midwifery*. 1995;1(6):168-174. doi:10.1016/S1353-6117(05)80067-8.
20. Mathie RT, Roniger H, Van Wassenhoven M, Frye J, Jacobs J, Oberbaum M, et al.

- Method for appraising model validity of randomised controlled trials of homeopathic treatment: multi-rater concordance study. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2012;12:49. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-12-49.
21. Mathie RT, Van Wassenhoven M, Jacobs J, Oberbaum M, Roniger H, Frye J, et al. Model validity of randomised placebo-controlled trials of individualised homeopathic treatment. *Homeopathy.* 2015;104(3):164-169. doi:10.1016/j.homp.2015.02.004.
 22. Mathie RT, Van Wassenhoven M, Jacobs J, Oberbaum M, Frye J, Manchanda RK, et al. Model validity and risk of bias in randomised placebo-controlled trials of individualised homeopathic treatment. *Complement Ther Med.* 2016;25:120-125. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2016.01.005.
 23. Mathie RT, Ramparsad N, Legg LA, Clausen J, Moss S, Davidson JRT, et al. Randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of non-individualised homeopathic treatment: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev.* 2017;6:63.
 24. doi:10.1186/s13643-017-0445-3.
 25. Mathie RT, Lloyd SM, Legg LA, Clausen J, Moss S, Davidson JRT, et al. Randomised placebo-controlled trials of individualised homeopathic treatment: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev.* 2014;3:142. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-3-142.
 26. Linde K, Clausius N, Ramirez G, Melchart D, Eitel F, Hedges LV, et al. Are the clinical effects of homoeopathy placebo effects? A meta-analysis of placebo-controlled trials. *Lancet.* 1997;350(9081):834-843.
 27. Shang A, Huwiler-Müntener K, Nartey L, Jüni P, Dörig S, Sterne JAC, et al. Are the clinical effects of homoeopathy placebo effects? Comparative study of placebo-controlled trials of homoeopathy and allopathy. *Lancet.* 2005;366(9487):726-732.
 28. National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health. Homeopathy: What You Need to Know [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): NCCIH; 2025 [cited 2026 Sep 9]. Available from: <https://www.nccih.nih.gov/health/homeopathy>.